

Climate-Hazard Screening and the Limits of Calendar Adaptation for Global Endurance Events under CMIP6 Projections

Dimitri Defrance

CosmosClimae, Montpellier, France

dimitri@cosmosclimae.com

Preprint notice

This manuscript is a preprint and has not undergone peer review. It is prepared for public dissemination and open scientific feedback through EarthArXiv.

Manuscript information

Article type	Preprint / Research Article
Version date	28 July 2026
Intended journal	Safety Science
Keywords	WBGT; heavy precipitation; endurance events; event safety; CMIP6; climate adaptation

Climate-Hazard Screening and the Limits of Calendar Adaptation for Global Endurance Events under CMIP6 Projections

Abstract

Global marathons and Ironman triathlons concentrate tens of thousands of participants on fixed dates, exposing them to weather and climate extremes, yet no framework systematically quantifies multi-hazard disruption under future climate. We introduce the *Endurance Event Disruption Index* (EEDI), the monthly fraction of days on which afternoon wet-bulb globe temperature (WBGT) exceeds 28°C or daily precipitation reaches 20 mm d^{-1} . The EEDI measures threshold-exceedance frequency, not organisational cancellation probability. Using five NEX-GDDP-CMIP6 models under SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5, we apply it to 28 major endurance events, including all seven World Marathon Majors, for 1991–2020, 2041–2070, and 2071–2100. Exceedance frequency is assessed over a ± 2 -day window around each event date, then across all months to identify the optimal scheduling month, $m^* = \arg \min_m \text{EEDI}(m)$. Most events retain low exceedance frequencies at current dates, but Lagos and Singapore already exceed 0.65. By 2071–2100 under SSP5-8.5, strict single-month optimisation would move 21 events and place nine in January. However, the quasi-optimal window, comprising months within 0.02 EEDI of the minimum, has a median width of five months. A tolerant strategy therefore moves only 13 events and limits January to six. Among 20 events with positive adaptation gain, shifts of at most two months recover 81% of the available reduction. Timing-based adaptation is effective but bounded: four tropical venues lose any low-exceedance window by late century. The framework provides a transferable climate-hazard screening tool across diverse global climatic settings for organisers, governing bodies, insurers, and other stakeholders responsible for long-term event safety and continuity planning.
